Executive summary

This Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan (CHRMAP) identifies and considers coastal hazards and risks for the City of Mandurah's Northern Beaches, which is the coastline between Roberts Point and Madora Bay, culminating in a recommended adaptation pathway with actions to assist in adapting to immediate coastal inundation and erosion risks, and undertaking appropriate planning to address increasing risk over time. This CHRMAP considers hazards and risks in the immediate-term (2020), short-term (2020 to 2030), medium-term (2030 to 2070) and the long-term (2070 to 2120).

Table 1Planning horizons

| Planning period | Outcome |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Immediate-term (2020): | Actions recommended to address current intolerable risks |
| Short-term (2020 to 2030): | Actions recommended to address short term intolerable risks to 2030 |
| Medium-term (2030 to 2070): | Planning decisions, additional investigations and decision making required to address risks that will become intolerable between 2030 and 2070 |
| Long-term (2070 to 2120): | Planning approaches to assist the Shire prepare for long-term risks to 2120 |

The areas of the City's Northern Beaches that are vulnerable to coastal erosion and flooding have been identified via a Coastal Hazard Assessment. This assessment provides a representation of the areas within the study area expected to be vulnerable to erosion and/or coastal flooding based on coastal modelling for the timeframes of 2020, 2030, 2050, 2070, 2090 and 2120.

The hazard assessment considers erosion and flooding risk associated with different storm scenarios. In the context of coastal hazard assessments, likelihood is defined as the chance of a coastal hazard occurring and how often it may impact an asset, land use or value. In the coastal hazard assessment, for each planning horizon, the CHRMAP considers three scenarios with relative levels of likelihood – almost certain, possible and rare.

Once the exposure (likelihood) of an asset being impacted is determined through the coastal hazard assessment, a vulnerability assessment is undertaken which combines the exposure risk with the sensitivity (consequence of the risk occurring) to provide a potential impact. A coastal hazard assessment then further considers the adaptative capacity of that asset to provide a vulnerability assessment at each timeframe. The outcome of this is whether the risk is considered acceptable, tolerable or intolerable, noting that this can change over time scales as the potential exposure to the risk increases (due to sea level rise for example). The erosion and inundation risk tolerance scales are shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

| Table 2 | Erosion | Tolerance | Matrix |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------|
| | | | |

| Risk Level | Vulnerability | | |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Low | Medium | High |
| Low | Acceptable | Acceptable | Tolerable |
| Medium | Acceptable | Tolerable | Intolerable |
| High | Tolerable | Intolerable | Intolerable |
| Extreme | Tolerable | Intolerable | Intolerable |

Table 3 Inundation Tolerance Matrix

| Risk Level | Adaptative Capacity | | |
|------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Low | High | |
| Low | Tolerable | Acceptable | Acceptable |
| Medium | Intolerable | Tolerable | Acceptable |
| High | Intolerable | Intolerable | Tolerable |
| Extreme | Intolerable | Intolerable | Tolerable |

i

Based on this assessment, the assets in each planning unit at intolerable risk from either erosion and inundation are noted in Table 4. Generally, the Northern Beaches of Mandurah have more exposure to erosion risk than inundation, with risk from inundation primarily observed around the lower lying areas of the Mandurah Marina.

| Management Unit | Immediate (2020 risk) | Current (to 2070 risk) | Long-Term (2070-2120) |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 01A. Roberts Point | Intolerable – Residential and Intolerable – Multiple asset types ocean access structures | | set types |
| 01B. Halls Head | . , | | Intolerable – Multiple asset types |
| 2A. Mandurah Marina | Intolerable – Residential | | |
| 2B. Mandurah Marina / Seashell Resort | Intolerable – Residential and Intolerable – Multiple asset types carparks | | set types |
| 3. Town Beach | Intolerable – Residential and Intolerable – Multiple asset types coastal pathways | | set types |
| 4. Silver Sands | Intolerable – Multiple asset types | | |
| 5. Orion Rd Groyne to Wade St Groyne | Intolerable – Multiple asset types | | |
| 6. Watersun Beach | Intolerable – Multiple asset types | | |
| 7. San Remo (South of Surf Club) | Intolerable – Multiple asset types | | |
| 8. Surf Club to Abeona Pde | Intolerable – Multiple asset types | | |
| 9. Abeona Pde to Diadem Pl | Intolerable – Multiple asset types | | |
| 10. Madora Beach | Intolerable – Multiple asset types | | |

 Table 4
 Summary of coastal hazard risk tolerance levels

Where areas were exposed to intolerable risk, potential adaptation pathways were developed for short to long term responses. A number of pathways were identified for each planning unit and then subjected to a multi criteria assessment (MCA) as well as a cost benefit analysis (CBA). The MCA process considered a number of factors, including coastal values, environmental, safety and amenity. The preferred adaptation pathways for each unit is summarised in Table 5 and shown in Figure 1.

 Table 5
 Flexible adaptation pathways per planning unit for Mandurah Northern Beaches

| Planning Unit | Short-term (2020 – 2030) | Medium-term (2031 – 2070) | Long-term (2071-2120) |
|------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| 1A | Protect | Renew protection | |
| 1B | Monitor and maintain, improve dune vegetation | Protect (Buried Seawall) | Renew protection |
| 2A | Maintain current protection structures | Renew/upgrade protection (end of design life) | Raise land levels (accommodate) |
| 2B | Nourish, dune revegetation, maintain existing protection structures | Protect | Renew protection |
| 3 - 9 | Nourish, dune revegetationProtectMaintain groynes / existing protectionstructures where relevant (units 3, 4, 5 and 8) | | Renew protection |
| 10 | Monitor | | Protect |

A key assumption for entire study area is that sand bypassing across the Mandurah Ocean Entrance (as well as further south at Dawesville) needs to continue via whatever means (mechanical, pump, permanent or discrete event) to ensure that the key coastal value of sandy beaches is supported as well as assisting in providing protection and a buffer to coastal erosion, thus delaying the need for engineered protection measures. The type of bypassing should be further investigated as there is potential benefit to be gained both economically and from a

coastal protection perspective by installing a permanent system which would move sand periodically, rather than once per year, more closely mimicking natural events.

In addition to the identified adaptation pathways, Table 6 provides a consolidated list of all recommended actions from across the implementation plan for delivery by relevant stakeholders to manage immediate risk and commence planning to adapt to increasing risk to 2070.

Table 6 Consolidated short-term action plan

| Action | Timing | Key stakeholders | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|
| All areas | | | |
| Investigate site specific coastal processes and geotechnical stability of dunes to prioritise adaptation planning and investment for the current planning horizon (to 2070). | Within 5 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group | |
| Continue with a general foreshore and coastal hazard monitoring program for whole assessment area | Immediate | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group | |
| Engage with state government, private industry, and the community to complete a Cost Benefit Analysis then use the results to prepare a long-term funding strategy for strategic, appropriate coastal adaptation (Benefit Distribution Analysis) | Immediate | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Private industry (including within Mandurah Marina) | |
| Identify sources of construction materials for protection options | Within 1-2 years | City of Mandurah Landcorp | |
| Amend LPS No.12 to apply provisions of SPP 2.6 as part of the scheme and incorporate a SCA over residential areas at risk by 2070 | Immediate | City of Mandurah Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage | |
| Develop planning policy to support LPS amendment to define the coastal hazard zone (and which will define where notifications should be placed on title) | Within 1-2 years | City of Mandurah Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage | |
| Review and update the Local Planning Strategy to consider the incorporation of a SCA over the area at risk of coastal inundation and erosion over the 100 year timeframe | Immediate | City of Mandurah Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage | |
| Develop and deliver a community awareness campaign on coastal hazards and risk | Within 1-2 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group | |
| Planning Unit 1A – Roberts Point | | | |
| Investigate feasibility of hard active versus hard or soft passive protection options and implement preferred protection. | Within 1-2 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage | |
| Planning Units 1B and 2B – Halls Head and M | landurah Marina | / Seashell Resort | |

| Action | Timing | Key stakeholders |
|--|--|---|
| Undertake localised sediment transport assessment and review of coastal process to support feasibility assessment of permanent sand bypassing. | Within 1-2 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage |
| Undertake additional assessment including detailed CBA, business case assessment and other feasibility level assessments as required. | Within 5 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage |
| If deemed feasible and is supported by economic assessment, design and deliver permanent sand bypassing | 5 – 10 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage |
| Continue to implement regular condition assessment and maintenance program for existing protection structures and develop where not already in place. Frequency of condition inspection and maintenance activities to be relevant to the structure's condition and value of protected assets. Include allowance for inspections immediately after significant storm events. | Immediate Post storm event Every 1 – 5 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group |
| Planning Unit 2A – Mandurah Marina | | |
| Prepare a short-term inundation accommodation and evacuation plan | Immediate | City of Mandurah Department of Fire and Emergency Services |
| Continue to implement regular condition assessment and maintenance program for existing protection structures and develop where not already in place. Frequency of condition inspection and maintenance activities to be relevant to the structure's condition and value of protected assets. Include allowance for inspections immediately | Immediate Post storm event Every 1 – 5 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group |
| after significant storm events. | Diadam | Diasa |
| Planning Units 3 through 9 – Town Beach the Continue/update the existing monitoring program at fixed locations along the shoreline to monitor key erosion locations for targeted sand nourishment and revegetation campaigns, comprising both quantitative and qualitative monitoring. Monitoring should be undertaken at a minimum of biannually (winter/summer) and during/post significant storm events. | Within 1-2 years Post storm events 1 – 2 times per year | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group |
| Undertake sand nourishment and dune stabilisation at acute erosion points to provide a buffer from the immediate erosion hazard. | Immediate | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group |
| Develop and implement revegetation program, with focus on erosion prone areas identified during monitoring activities. | Immediate | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group |

| Action | Timing | Key stakeholders |
|---|--|---|
| Continue to implement regular condition assessment and maintenance program for existing protection structures and develop where not already in place. Frequency of condition inspection and maintenance activities to be relevant to the structure's condition and value of protected assets. Include allowance for inspections immediately after significant storm events. | Immediate Post storm event Every 1 – 5 years | City of Mandurah Department of Transport. Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage. Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group |
| Planning Unit 10 – Madora Beach | | |
| Continue/update the existing annual monitoring program at fixed locations along the shoreline to identify erosion trends. If identified as required during monitoring activities, transition to targeted sand nourishment and revegetation campaigns. | Within 5 years Post storm events Annually | City of Mandurah Department of Transport Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Peron Naturaliste Partnership Coastcare groups Mandurah Environment and Heritage Group |

The risk of coastal erosion and inundation will increase between 2070 and 2120. In the lead up to 2070, future iterations of coastal adaptation plans will need to engage with the community to understand the values and assets at risk, confirm risk levels, and make appropriate adaptation decisions.

To enable all options being available for future decisions on coastal risk beyond 2070, it is important that strategic planning for the growth of Mandurah maintains the future opportunity to both protect or retreat from areas of intolerable risk without increasing the cost to the future community of doing so. Changes to the planning framework are required to achieve two key adaptation outcomes:

- 1. Build resilience and flexibility into coastal planning frameworks to enable long-term retreat if required; and
- 2. Facilitate land use change to implement retreat as required.

These changes may include measures such as the expansion of current coastal planning reserves and the continued requirement for foreshore management plans for new developments. The ability to retreat is supported through the inclusion SPP 2.6 provisions in the Local Planning Scheme and development of a special control area.

This plan should be reviewed regularly, alongside the review of the City of Mandurah strategic plans and/or fiveyearly reviews of local planning strategies. It is important to note the CHRMAP is an ongoing process and does not lay out a prescriptive pathway for what will happen into the future but provides a strong foundation and considered direction for decision makers, including the community, as these risks are addressed. Decisions will still be required to determine the most appropriate adaptation options for implementation and what funding models will allow this to progress. Any future updates to the plan will need to account for improved understanding of coastal hazard risks for Mandurah and/or changes to relevant planning policies in Western Australia. Where new information or methods become available that significantly modify the understanding of the coastal hazards, then adaptation approaches within coastal compartments would need to be reviewed through the CHRMAP hierarchy, as part of the ongoing monitoring and review process.

This report is subject to, and must be read in conjunction with, the limitations set out in section 1.3 and the assumptions and qualifications contained throughout the Report.



Figure 1 Summary of Adaptation Options